

EFFECT OF ORGANIC MANURE ON SEEDLING GROWTH AND DEVELOPMENT OF *TETRAPLURA TETRAPTRA* (TAUB)

Dr. K. Okunomo

Abstract

A study was carried out on the effect of organic manure on growth and development of *Tetraplura tetraptera* seedling in the Teaching and Research Farm of the forestry and Wildlife Department, Delta State University, Asaba campus, Delta State. The statistical design used for the experiment was randomized completely block design with three replicates. The treatment used was cow dung, poultry droppings, piggery manure and topsoil. The samples of each organic manure were analysed for total Nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium. The soil analysis reveals a higher Nitrogen concentration of 0.13% in poultry droppings while the least (0.8%) was found in top soil. The effect of organic manure on *T. tetraptera* seedling height was significant ($p < 0.05$). However collar character of *T. Tetraptera* seedling was not significantly affected by application of the organic manure. At 12 WAT, the height growth value were 23.12 in >22.7cm>2>21.08cm>20.10cm for poultry manure, piggery, cow dung and top soil respectively. The result for leaf number and leaf area and collar diameter followed the same trend. These results suggest that soil amendment is still necessary for the establishment of *T. Tetraptera* seedling. Poultry manure was recommended as the most suitable organic manure for growth and development of this species.

Introduction

Forest plays a significant role in economic development. In addition to their important influence on the environment, they provide innumerable product of vital uses to man. The ecological usefulness of forest is most readily observed in their beneficial effect on water catchments areas, where they protect soil from erosion and silting of dams and canals. They have produced micro-climatic effects that are of benefit to humans and livestock; they provide a habitat for wildlife and attractive places for recreation (World Bank, 1988). Forest products are extensively used in most societies, they provide food, fuel fibre, building materials and industrial product such as gums, resins, oil, transmission poles, newsprints and other papers, textile and clothing. Almost every modern industry is to some extent depending on forest products in one or more of its processes (Leakey, 1999). However, our concern in this study is basically on fruit trees one of which is *T. tetraptera*. Fruit trees play an essential role in forestry because of their demand by man due to the benefit obtainable from them. The species is highly sought for because of its pods which contain seeds utilized for soup preparation. The problem facing a lot of these fruit trees is the inability of the local farmers to engage in their cultivation which is due to dearth of information on their germination. Furthermore, the rapid exploitation due to pressure of demand and their low-germination rate and growth in the natural forest has 1

necessitated the domestication of the species. The paper is to examine the influence of organic manure on the growth and development of *Tetraplura tetraptera* seedlings.

Materials and Methods Study Area

The experiment was conducted at the teaching and research farm of the department of forestry and wildlife, delta state university, Asaba campus. Asaba is located at 06°14¹N and 06°49¹E of the equator. Asaba lies in the tropical rain forest zone. Rainy season is between April – October, with annual rainfall of 1,500mm-1,849.3mm. The mean temperature is 23.3°C with a maximum temperature of 37.3°C. The mean monthly soil temperature at 100cm depth is 4.8bars (Asaba Meteorological station 2008).

Seed Collection

T. Tetraptera fruits were purchased from Oyioka market in Ika south local government area of Delta State and seedlings raised from seeds were used for the study.

Sources of Organic Manure

The top soil was collected from the campus premises while the cow dung was collected from an abattoir in Asaba. Piggery manure was obtained from university piggery.

Soil Analysis

Representative samples of the top soil utilized were collected and analyzed. They were passed through 2mm sieve for phosphorus, potassium and total nitrogen determination. Total nitrogen was determined using micro – kjedhal method. Available phosphorus was extracted using the Bray – p extracting solution and determined using the Nava spec spectrophotometer. The exchangeable cat-ions were extracted with ammonium acetate (Jackson 1962). The exchangeable potassium was evaluated using flame photometer.

Procedure

Seeds of *T. tetraptera* were sown on a germination bed after being extracted from the fruit pulp. Germination of the seeds occurred three weeks later. All the germinated seedlings were transplanted into poly pots containing the growth media. Ten (10) seedlings were transplanted into the poly pots. There were four treatments in all replicated three times. The statistical design adopted was completely randomized design. They were watered daily and the experiment lasted for 10 weeks. The growth parameters measured were plant height, leaf number, collar diameter and leaf area. Dry weight was determined at the end of the trail. This involves harvesting of the seedlings and separating them into leaves, stems and roots. They were weighed (Fresh weight) and oven-dried at 105°C for 18 hours.

Results

Plant Height

The effect of organic manure on plant height of *T. tetreptera* is shown in Table 1. The plant height of this species generally increased over the period of monitoring. From week 2 onward, the seedling height of *T. tetraptera* grown in soils treated with poultry droppings was higher than the other four treatments. By week 5, 14.30cm height which was the highest was given by poultry droppings and the difference was far more than the rest of the treatments. The lowest height (13.50cm) was recorded for cow dung and top soil. This trend persisted throughout the duration of the experiment. Analysis of variance indicated that poultry dropping treatment significantly differed from cow dung and control treatment ($p < 0.05$) but was not significantly different from piggery manure treatment. By week 12 the maximum mean plant height was 23.12cm, given by poultry dropping treatment while the lowest (20.10cm) was produced by the control treatment.

Leaf Number

The effect of organic manure on leaf number of *T. Tetraptera* seedlings is presented in Table 2. The number of leaves increased with weeks after transplanting. The pattern of leaf growth showed that seedlings grown in poultry manure treatment consistently had higher number of leaves than other seedlings grown in soil amended with other organic manures (including the control treatment) throughout the period of observation. Analysis of variance revealed that seedlings from poultry manure treatment and pig manure differed significantly from the cow dung and the top soil. Mean value of 61.32 and 60.18 leaves per plant were recorded for poultry manure and piggery manure respectively. The highest number of leaves was obtained from seedlings grown in soil amend with poultry droppings (61.32) while the least was obtained from seedlings grown in top soil. Analysis of variance revealed significant differences ($p < 0.05$) among the treatments.

Leaf Area

Leaf area increased with weeks after transplanting throughout the period of the experiment. Poultry manure had a positive effect on leaf area of *T. Tetraptera*. The highest leaf area was obtained from seedlings in poultry manure treated soil and least in top soil with value of 2460.14cm² and 1800.10cm² respectively. (Table 3). Generally, seedlings in poultry manure treated soil were significantly superior to those in other treatment except piggery manure. The difference between cow dung and top soil treatment were not pronounced most especially at week 9. The mean values obtained at this period were 1284.02 and 1282.02cm² respectively.

Collar Diameter

Collar diameter also increased with weeks after planting but was negligible. As a matter of fact no increase was observed from 2nd week to the third week for all treatment except poultry manure treatment. Slight increases were observed as from the seventh week to week 12. In spite of these increment no significant difference was observed ($p>0.05$) among the treatments. The mean values ranged between 2.0mm and 2.6mm at 12 WAP. The minimum value of 2.0mm was obtained from seedlings sown on top soil while the maximum value of 2.6mm was recorded for seedlings grown in soil treated with poultry manure.

Discussion

Poultry droppings gave the best performance with regards to plant height of *T. Tetraptera* seedlings and this was significant at $P<0.05$. The reason for this is not unconnected to higher nutrient released by this soil amendment than the rest. This finding is in agreement with the studies by Opeke (1997) and Imobighe (2004). Similar trend was observed for leaf numbers; however the pig manure and cow dung treatment exerted the same influence on leaves of *T. Tetraptera* seedlings. The higher concentration of nutrient in those soil amendment or organic manure must have been responsible for this as earlier stated. As regards leaf area values of *T. Tetraptera* seedlings; the performance was not different from the aforementioned parameters. It is pertinent to state here that cow dung and top soil treatments were not statistically significant on their influence on leaf area of seedlings of *T. Tetraptera*. This result was also true for collar diameter values. No significant difference was observed among the treatments. This could be attributed to the higher aeration and humus component of the top soil which is a repository of plant nutrients for plant growth (Table 1). Nwoboshi (2000), asserted that for optimum tree growth, essential nutrient element particularly the macro nutrient, must be present. The findings of this study agree with the above assertion.

Conclusion

It has been demonstrated that organic manure application is important for growth and development of *T. Tetraptera* seedlings. This is very pertinent in this part of the country where fertilizers are either unavailable or the cost is highly prohibitive. The local farmers can therefore avail themselves of the opportunity provided by these soil amendments to improve their crop yield. The study has shown that among these organic manure, poultry manure gave the best performance. The highest height of 23.12cm was recorded under this treatment for seedlings of *T. Tetraptera*. The result corroborates the assertion or strengthens the position that scientific application of manure has significant effect on seedling growth.

Effect Of Organic Manure on Seedling Growth and Development of Tetraplura Tetraptra (TAUB)

References

Asaba Meteorological Station (2008). National meteorological report in meteorological bulletin (2008). Lagos, Nigeria.

Jackson, M.L. (1962): Soil Chemical analysis. Prentice Hall, New York.

Imobighe (2004). Effect of organic manure on the growth and yield of Okra. MSc. Project (unpublished). Department of Vocational and technical education, Delta State University, Abraka.

Leakey, R.R.B. (1999). Farmers top priority fruit trees. Agroforestry today, July – December 1999. publ. ICRAF Nairobi, Kenya, pg. 11-15.

Nwoboshi, L.C. (2000). The Nutrient factor in sustainable forestry. Ibadan University Pressd, 303p.

Opeke, L.K. (1997). Tropical tree crops. Spectrum books, Ibadan. Pp.83-85.

World Bank (1988). Forestry development in Practice. World Bank Sector Policy on Forestry, 1998. Annual Report (1998). P.3.

Table 1
Nutrient Status of the Treatments Utilized

| Treatment | Total nitrogen | Phosphorus | Potassium |
|------------------|----------------|------------|-----------|
| Top soil | 0.6% | 0.05% | 0.08% |
| Cow dung | 0.12% | 0.23% | 0.19% |
| Poultry dropping | 0.13% | 0.20% | 0.14% |
| Piggery manure | 0.10% | 0.17% | 0.13% |

Table 2
Effect of Organic Manure on Plant Height (CM) of Tetrapleura Tetraptera Seedlings

| Treatm ent | Weeks after Transplanting | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------|---------------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|----------------|
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Cow dung | 11.8 0a | 12.3 0a | 12.7 0a | 13.1 0a | 13.5 0b | 13.9 0b | 14.0 5b | 15.2 7b | 16.1 8b | 18.1 0b | 19.1 8b | 21. 18 b |
| Poultry manure | 11.8 0a | 12.3 7a | 13.0 0b | 13.6 5b | 14.3 0a | 14.3 0a | 15.1 3a | 16.1 0a | 17.2 0a | 19.2 1a | 21.2 8a | 23. 12 a |
| Pig manure | 11.6 a | 12.1 7b | 12.3 6a | 13.6 7b | 13.6 7b | 13.6 7b | 14.2 1b | 15.3 4b | 16.4 2a | 19.1 4a | 20.1 2a | 22. 09 a |
| Top soil | 11.8 0a | 12.3 0a | 12.7 0a | 13.1 0a | 13.1 0a | 13.5 0b | 14.0 0b | 15.1 1b | 15.5 8b | 17.1 0b | 19.1 0b | 20. 10 b |

Means b of the same letters are not significantly different at ($P \leq 0.05$)

Table 3
Effect of Organic Manure on Leaf Number of Tetrapleura Tetraptera Seedlings

| Treatment | Weeks After Transplanting | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------|---------------------------|--------|--------|--------|---------|---------|--------|--------|--------|------------|--------|------------|
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Cow dung | 16.33b | 19.33b | 23.00b | 27.33b | 29.67ab | 32.33ab | 40.08b | 43.41b | 45.62b | 49.0 1b | 51.08b | 54.32 b |
| Poultry manure | 19.00a | 25.00a | 30.00a | 34.00a | 34.00a | 41.00a | 46.12a | 48.28a | 54.60a | 57.8 2a | 61.32a | 68.01 a |
| Pig manuren | 19.00a | 24.67a | 28.67 | 33.67a | 33.67a | 40.00a | 46.02a | 49.12a | 54.12a | 57.0 1a | 60.18a | 67.32 a |
| Top soil | 17.67ab | 20.33b | 24.67b | 28.33b | 28.33b | 32.00b | 38.09b | 40.08b | 43.80b | 48.2 0b | 50.08b | 53.01 b |

Mean of the same letters are not significantly different at ($P \leq 0.05$)

Effect Of Organic Manure on Seedling Growth and Development of Tetrapleura Tetraptra (TAUB)

Table 4
Effect of Organic Manure on Leaf Area of Tetrapleura Tetraptra Seedlings

| Treatment | Weeks After Transplanting | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------|---------------------------|--------|--------|--------|----------|---------|----------|---------|---------|---------|----------|----------|
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Cow dung | 452.67b | 535.8b | 637.6b | 757.6b | 822.5b | 896.2b | 911.02b | 1142.0b | 1284.0b | 1491.1b | 1672.1ab | 1800.1ab |
| Poultry manure | 526.8a | 693.0a | 818.1a | 942.5a | 1081.1a | 1136.5a | 1300.0a | 1400.3a | 1562.1a | 1681.2a | 1847.2a | 2162.0a |
| Piggery manure | 526.3a | 683.0a | 794.7a | 933.3a | 1025.64a | 1108.8b | 1206.0a | 1382.2a | 1541.3a | 1602.1a | 1812.3a | 2641.0a |
| Top soil | 471.3b | 542.2b | 658.6b | 755.6b | 840.6b | 942.2b | 1112.0ab | 1200.1b | 1281.0b | 1382.1b | 1508.04b | 1758.2b |

Mean of the same letter are not significantly different at ($P \leq 0.05$)

Table 5

| Treatment | Weeks after Transplanting | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------|---------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Cow dung | 1.00a | 1.00a | 1.10a | 1.10a | 1.10a | 1.20a | 1.30a | 1.40a | 1.50a | 1.70a | 1.90a | 2.0a |
| Poultry manure | 1.00a | 1.10a | 1.10a | 1.20a | 1.30a | 1.30a | 1.40a | 1.50a | 1.60a | 1.80a | 2.10a | 2.30a |
| Piggery manure | 0.90a | 1.00a | 1.00a | 1.10a | 1.10a | 1.20a | 1.30a | 1.40a | 1.50a | 1.80a | 2.00a | 2.20a |
| | 1.00a | 1.00a | 1.00a | 1.10a | 1.10a | 1.20a | 1.30a | 1.40a | 1.50a | 1.60a | 1.80a | 1.90b |

mean of the same letter are not significantly different at ($P \leq 0.05$)